



Renter's Report Card Grading Rubric

Grader name: _____

Date: _____

A+: Candidate's answer is clear, specific, and promises benefits to renters that go beyond the initial scope of the question while still being feasible. Where applicable, voting record or past history shows consistent and strong support for renters. Candidate's voting record or past history indicates it is highly likely they will vote in line with renters' interests on this matter. Answer and history indicate the candidate is highly likely to continue to advocate for renters on this issue once the initial promises of the question have been met.

A: Answer to question is clear, specific, and clearly benefits renters' interests. Where applicable, voting record or past history matches actions the candidate promises to take. Candidate's voting record or past history indicates it is highly likely they will vote in line with renters' interests on this matter and introduce new municipal legislation that is likely to benefit renters in the future.

B: Answer to question is clear and benefits renters. Candidate does not include specific actions or rationale for how promises will be implemented. Where applicable, candidate's voting record or past history indicates it is likely the candidate will vote in line with renter interests on this matter.

C: Answer to question is unclear or incomplete, but candidate appears to support taking action on this rental issue. Where applicable, candidate's voting record or past history does not clearly indicate that they would vote for or against renters' interests on this matter.

D: Candidate does not support renters' interests in their answer or does not answer question. Voting record or past history indicates it is likely the candidate would vote against renters' interests in this matter or otherwise undermine or weaken new renter-friendly municipal legislation.

F: Candidate indicates they would take actions contrary to renters' interests or does not answer question. Voting record or past history indicates it is highly likely candidate would vote against renters' interests, undermine or weaken renter-friendly municipal legislation, and/or introduce municipal legislation that would be harmful to renters.

Scoring:

Candidate scores for each question are an average of all assessor assigned grades.

A+ = 14, A=13, B=10, C=7, D=4, F=2 or below.

Community First New West					
	Question #1	Question #2	Question #3	Question #4	Question #5
Bereket Kebede <i>Council candidate</i>					
Dalia Al-Hussaini <i>Council candidate</i>					
Jaimie McEvoy (<i>incumbent</i>) <i>Council candidate</i>					
Kane Tse <i>Council candidate</i>					
Patrick Johnstone (<i>incumbent</i>) <i>Mayoral candidate</i>					
Peter Jorgensen <i>Council candidate</i>					
Tasha Henderson (<i>incumbent</i>) <i>Council candidate</i>					
Independent					
Geoff Homel <i>Council candidate</i>					
New Westminster Progressives					
Daniel Fontaine (<i>incumbent</i>) <i>Mayoral candidate</i>					
Karima Budhwani <i>Council candidate</i>					
Ken Armstrong <i>Council candidate</i>					
Maryann Morrison <i>Council candidate</i>					
Paul Minhas (<i>incumbent</i>) <i>Council candidate</i>					
Ray Porcellato <i>Council candidate</i>					
Rick Folka <i>Council candidate</i>					